Public Document Pack

Licensing Sub-Committee

Monday, 18th September, 2006

PRESENT: Councillor in the Chair

Councillors T Grayshon, D Hollingsworth

and V Morgan

1 APPLICATION FOR THE GRANT OF A PREMISES LICENCE AT CHALLENGE SANDWICHES, 35 NORTH LANE, HEADINGLEY, LEEDS, LS6 3HW

Please refer to attached decision letter

- 2 APPLICATION FOR THE GRANT OF A PREMISES LICENCE AT JUMBO SNACKS MOBILE CATERING UNIT, COOKRIDGE STREET, LEEDS 1
 Please refer to attached decision letter
- 3 APPLICATION FOR THE VARIATION OF A PREMISES LICENCE AT MAKRO SELF SERVICE WHOLESALERS LTD, ROYDS LANE, LOWER WORTLEY, LEEDS, LS12 6HU

Please refer to attached decision letter

- 4 APPLICATION FOR THE VARIATION OF A PREMISES LICENCE AT THE GEORGE HOTEL, GREAT GEORGE STREET, LEEDS, LS1 3DL

 The applicant and interested parties had reached agreement on proposed measures prior to the meeting which negated the requirement for a hearing
- 5 APPLICATION FOR THE CERTIFICATION OF FILMS AT THE LEEDS INTERNATIONAL FILM FESTIVAL

Please refer to the attached decision letter



Minute Item 1



Miss S Samurai 35 North Lane LEEDS LS6 3HW **Chief Executive's Department**

Governance Services 4th Floor West Civic Hall Leeds LS1 1UR

Contact: Helen Gray Tel: 0113 247 4355 Fax: 0113 395 1599

Email: helen.gray@leeds.gov.uk

Your reference:

Our reference: A61/hg/challenge

27 September 2006

APPLICATION FOR THE GRANT OF A PREMISES LICENCE: "CHALLENGE SANDWICHES", 35 NORTH LANE, HEADINGLEY, LEEDS LS6 3HW

On 18th September 2006 the Licensing Sub Committee heard an application by C2C Investment Limited, 20 Silverdale Road, Bradford BD5 8DR for the grant of a premises licence in respect of the premises known as "Challenge Sandwiches" 35 North Lane, Headingley, LS6 3HW.

The applicant sought the following:

Late Night Refreshment:

Sunday to Saturday 11:00 hours until 05:00 hours (the following day)

The applicant proposed to provide the service to the public during the following times should the application be granted:

Sunday to Saturday 11:00 hours until 05:00 hours (the following day)

The applicant also intended to operate the same hours during Bank Holiday periods

This letter represents the formal decision of the Sub Committee in respect of the application.

Preliminary Procedural Issues

The Sub Committee considered preliminary matters of a purely procedural nature. There were no declarations of interest made.

The Sub Committee then decided that the procedure for the hearing would not be varied. The Sub Committee also considered if the public should be excluded from any parts of the hearing.



The Sub Committee decided to exclude the public from that part of the hearing where Members would deliberate on the application as presented. This would allow them to have a full and frank discussion on all matters put before them and this fact outweighed the public interest in not doing so.

The Sub Committee permitted each party 10 minutes in which to make their case. This time limit was imposed having regard to the relevant Regulations and in the interests of consistency and the efficiency of business.

The Sub Committee then went on to consider the application.

The Hearing

The Sub Committee considered the verbal submissions made by Miss Saba Samurai on behalf of the applicant, which addressed the application and the comments of the interested parties.

In determining the application the Sub Committee took into account written submissions from the applicant and the following members of the public who had objected to the application which were contained within the Licensing Officers' report and had been circulated to all the Parties prior to the hearing.

R Harkess S Unsworth
D Ainsworth R S Ainsworth
B Unsworth C Unsworth
A Beaumont B Frater

After considering the evidence and submissions the Sub Committee needed to satisfy itself that granting the licence would promote the licensing objectives.

In reaching this decision the Sub Committee had regard to the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003, guidance under Section 182 of that Act and the Council's own Licensing Policy.

In particular the Sub Committee took into account Sections 34 & 35 of the Act because these were the most relevant to the application and Chapter 7 of the Guidance relating to the prevention of crime and disorder, public nuisance and public safety

The Sub Committee then went on to consider the following paragraphs of the Licensing Policy as the Sub Committee took the view these paragraphs had bearing on the application.

Reasons for the decision

The following parties attended the hearing: Miss S Samurai the applicant Mr B Unsworth a local resident

The Sub Committee noted that this was the first application for a Premises Licence for this site. Additionally the Sub Committee noted that although the premises was situated within the area of Cumulative Impact Policy for Headingley, the Policy did not apply to the provision of late night refreshment

The Sub Committee noted that the following agreements had been made between the applicant and LCC Environmental Health Services over conditions proposed by them in order to prevent public nuisance

- Ensure that no nuisance is caused buy noise or vibration emanating from the premises
- Noise from the premises shall be inaudible at the nearest noise sensitive premises after 23:00 hours. In order to meet this condition:
 - a) The kitchen door must not be opened after 23:00 hours except in an emergency
 - b) All deliveries after 23:00 hours shall be made through the front door of the shop

These conditions would be included in the applicant's Operating Schedule, and eventually the licence, should the application be granted.

The Sub Committee then heard the submission of Mr Unsworth who, with the Sub Committees permission tabled two photographs of the rear area of the premises and bin storage. Mr Unsworth began by explaining he lived to the rear of the yard area shown in the photographs and that noise and litter were real concerns attributable to the premises.

Mr Unsworth stated that staff of the premises used the rear yard at their break times, and it was therefore currently in use until 05:00 hours. Furthermore he could hear noise generated from inside the premises when he was in his back garden. He confirmed the shop had a television and a radio and he could clearly hear that noise when the premise door was open. Additionally, the patrons of the shop who had already been to the local pubs created noise, which he could hear inside his home. He stated that although he had a triple glazed bedroom window, noise from within the shop could still be heard.

In answer to a query from the Sub Committee, Mr Unsworth confirmed that the premises was currently a sandwich shop, not a take-way but did remain open until 04:00/05:00 hours. He highlighted the fact that under current planning arrangements, he could not object to the use of the premises as a sandwich shop selling cold food, nor its hours of operation, however he could object to the proposed provision of hot food which would change the nature of the shop.

The Sub Committee then considered the verbal submissions made by Miss Samurai in support of the application. Miss Samurai began by stating she had been under the impression she would need to apply for another licence in order to sell hot food such as burgers, chips etc. She explained that the application for a Premise Licence had been made in order to sell warm food; it was their intention to continue to sell cold sandwiches which could be warmed at the request of customers.

Miss Samurai then dealt with the comments made by Mr Unsworth. She confirmed that under the terms of the current planning permission for the premises, no restrictions had been imposed with regards to the hours of operation, however hot food could not be sold. With regards to noise, she reported that the stereo had been removed from the premises in April when she had taken over the premises; therefore no radio was currently on the premises. A television had been in use, however it was now utilised for the CCTV system at the shop. Furthermore the back door of the shop was now kept closed to minimise noise.

With regards to litter, Miss Samurai stated the photographs showed the alleyway to the side of the premises. The alleyway was not wholly owned by the applicant and not all of the bins shown or litter was attributable to this premises.

In answer to a question from the Sub Committee, Miss Samurai stated that most of the customers using the shop in the early hours of the morning came from the public house and club situated nearby which did not close until that time. She confirmed there were a number of hot food take-aways in the area already and she intended to match their opening hours. Miss Samurai also reported that she was aware that some of the other establishments were trading without the necessary permission or Licence.

In conclusion, Miss Samurai confirmed that the Notice of the application and advertisement for the application had been displayed correctly for the statutory period. This was confirmed by the Legal Adviser to the Sub Committee in response to a comment contained within Mr Unsworths' written submission that the application had not been served correctly. The Legal Adviser also took the opportunity to remind Members that the grant of a Premise Licence would allow the applicant to serve any hot food, as per a "take-away" establishment

The Decision

The Sub Committee had regard to the submissions made by the applicant and noted the agreements made with LCC Environmental Health Services in advance of the meeting.

Members carefully considered the application having regard to the comments of the objectors and were concerned about the proximity of the premises to a residential area and the likely increase in noise and disturbance which would be caused by another take-away establishment operating in the area.

The Sub Committee resolved to refuse the application.

The Sub Committee considered that if the application was allowed, the premises would cause an unacceptable level of noise in a mainly residential area.

There is a right of appeal to the Magistrates Court should you be dissatisfied with the decision made by the Sub Committee. You must make this appeal within 21 days of this letter reaching you.

Appeals should be addressed to the Magistrates Court at: Clerk to the Justices Leeds Magistrates Court Westgate Leeds LS1 3JP

and accompanied by a copy of this decision letter and the court fee of £75.00.

Yours faithfully,

Helen Gray Clerk to the Licensing Sub Committee Mr Y Azad

BRADFORD BD7 2AG

47 Princeville Street

Minute Item 2



Chief Executive's Department

Governance Services 4th Floor West Civic Hall Leeds LS1 1UR

Contact: Helen Gray Tel: 0113 247 4355 Fax: 0113 395 1599

Email: helen.gray@leeds.gov.uk

Your reference:

Our reference: A61/hg/jumbo snacks

27 September 2006

APPLICATION FOR THE GRANT OF A PREMISES LICENCE: "JUMBO SNACKS" MOBILE CATERING UNIT, COOKRIDGE STREET, LEEDS LS1

On 18th September 2006 the Licensing Sub Committee heard an application by Mr Y Azad, 47 Princeville Street, Bradford BD7 2AG for the grant of a premises licence in respect of a mobile catering unit known as "Jumbo Snacks" to be located at Cookridge Street, Leeds LS1.

The applicant sought the following:

Late Night Refreshment:

Sunday to Saturday 23:00 hours until 04:30 hours (the following day)

The applicant proposed to provide the service to the public during the following times should the application be granted:

Sunday to Saturday 21:30 hours until 04:30 hours (the following day)

The applicant also intended to operate the same hours during Bank Holiday periods

This letter represents the formal decision of the Sub Committee in respect of the application.

Preliminary Procedural Issues

The Sub Committee considered preliminary matters of a purely procedural nature. There were no declarations of interest made.

The applicant requested permission to submit additional material in support of his application, including a written submission and two letters of support. The request was considered by the Sub Committee and permission granted to table the additional documents. Copies were given to all present at the hearing.



The Sub Committee then decided that the procedure for the hearing would not be varied. The Sub Committee also considered if the public should be excluded from any parts of the hearing.

The Sub Committee decided to exclude the public from that part of the hearing where Members would deliberate on the application as presented. This would allow them to have a full and frank discussion on all matters put before them and this fact outweighed the public interest in not doing so.

The Sub Committee permitted each party 10 minutes in which to make their case. This time limit was imposed having regard to the relevant Regulations and in the interests of consistency and the efficiency of business.

The Sub Committee then went on to consider the application.

The Hearing

The Sub Committee considered the verbal submissions of the applicant, Mr Y Azad which addressed the application and the comments of the interested parties. Mr M Fiaz accompanied the applicant.

In determining the application the Sub Committee took into account written submissions from the applicant and the following members of the public who had objected to the application which were contained within the Licensing Officers' report and had been circulated to all the Parties prior to the hearing.

Mr M Pickersgill Ms S Perry Mr A Lucas

Mrs G M P Rana (via e-mail)

After considering the evidence and submissions the Sub Committee needed to satisfy itself that granting the licence would promote the licensing objectives.

In reaching this decision the Sub Committee had regard to the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003, guidance under Section 182 of that Act and the Council's own Licensing Policy.

In particular the Sub Committee took into account Sections 34 & 35 of the Act because these were the most relevant to the application and Chapter 7 of the Guidance relating to the prevention of crime and disorder, public nuisance and public safety

The Sub Committee then went on to consider the following paragraphs of the Licensing Policy as the Sub Committee took the view these paragraphs had bearing on the application.

Reasons for the decision

The following parties attended the hearing: Mr Y Azad the applicant Mr M Fiaz a friend of the applicant Mr M Pickersgill a local resident Ms S Perry a local resident

The Sub Committee noted that this was the first application for the use of a Premises Licence for a mobile unit at this site. Additionally the location lay within the area governed by the Leeds City Centre Cumulative Impact Policy

The Sub Committee noted that the following agreements had been made between the applicant and LCC Environmental Health Services over conditions proposed by them:

- Ensure that the area around your premises is kept clean
- Litter bins shall be prominently positioned with clear signage to indicate that they are there to be used particularly at fast food take-aways
- Ensure that litter bins are regularly emptied especially a times of peak business
- Ensure that the premises are operated so as to prevent the emission of unwanted odours

These conditions would be included in the applicant's Operating Schedule, and eventually the licence, should the application be granted.

The Sub Committee then heard the submission of Ms Perry who began by questioning how Cookridge Street could be regarded as a "site" and therefore how the applicant could have tendered for the site. Ms Perry explained that one of her main concerns was dispersal. In March 2006 the residents of the area had met with local councillors, Members of Parliament, council officers and representatives of the pubs/clubs and West Yorkshire Police to discuss issues relating to the late night entertainment establishments in and around Cookridge Street, In particular the issue of dispersal of patrons from the clubs/pubs in the early hours of the morning. Since that meeting, the noise associated with patrons leaving the area, such as noise from taxis and noise from individuals had reduced significantly.

Ms Perry further explained that without the rapid dispersal of patrons, noise quickly increased as people remain in the area after the close of pubs/clubs. Eventually, as had happened in the past, this could lead to anti social behaviour and incidents of violence. Ms Perry suggested that the siting of the mobile fast food unit would prevent the rapid dispersal of people from Cookridge Street as people would remain in the area to purchase and consume food.

Ms Perry stated that local residents felt the proposed hours of operation directly conflicted with the residential nature of Cookridge Street. Additionally she highlighted that recent legislation preventing fly-posting and the distribution of advertisement flyers normally associated with such mobile units had come into force on 15th September 2006. Finally Ms Perry reported that the mobile unit had operated on 9th and 16th September 2006 respectively and she suggested that trading on these occasions had been illegal as she had not found any evidence of a temporary trading permit.

In conclusion Ms Perry reiterated that local residents had worked very hard with LCC and WYP during the last 18 months to solve the problems of noise and anti social behaviour associated with the Cookridge Street area, and she felt the granting of this licence for the mobile unit would counter act all of that work.

The Sub Committee then considered the verbal submissions made by Mr Azad and Mr Fiaz in support of the application. Mr Fiaz acknowledged the concerns of the local residents however pointed out that this was a busy and vibrant area already and was surrounded by clubs/pubs. He suggested that the addition of the mobile unit would not add to the noise

already experienced by residents, but would in fact reduce it. He explained that noise was created when patrons left the nearby venues and remained in the area whilst waiting for a taxi home, and that problems often occurred whilst they were queuing. He suggested the addition of the mobile snacks unit nearby would distract people and reduce tension in the queues, which would in turn lower the noise. Furthermore, noise was created by the taxi and private hire traffic picking up fares in the area.

Mr Azad explained that the mobile unit itself would not create any additional noise as it was powered by LPG gas and did not use a generator, the only noise created would be from the frying unit. Food would be pre-cooked and frozen elsewhere to be heated up at the unit

With regards to rubbish, Mr Fiaz confirmed that there would be bins around the mobile unit and the applicants would clear the area after closing. Rubbish would be stored in bin-bags. Mr Fiaz explained that the mobile unit had been operating for a year and had not experienced any problems. The applicants cleared rubbish every evening, including rubbish form other venues such as flyers and glass bottles.

The Legal Adviser took the opportunity to advise the Sub Committee and all present that Cookridge Street was a "consent street", which meant that any one wishing to trade on that street required consent from Leeds City Council, Markets Division. It was reported that no one currently had consent to trade on Cookridge Street. In response to a query from the Sub Committee, Mr Azad confirmed that he did not have consent to trade on Cookridge Street. Mr Azad stated that he had made the application to LCC Entertainment Licensing only – for the Premises Licence required to sell hot food after 23:00 hours.

The Decision

The Sub Committee had regard to the submissions made by the applicant and noted the agreements made with LCC Environmental Health Services in advance of the meeting.

Members carefully considered the application having regard to the implications of the Leeds City Centre Cumulative Impact Area Policy, the comments of the objectors and in the light of the issue of consent to trade on Cookridge Street.

The Sub Committee resolved to refuse the application, and explained that the application would have been refused irrespective of the consent issue. The Sub Committee considered that this operation would generate extra noise; would contribute to the congestion problems which already exist and would have a detrimental effect on the prevention of public nuisance. The Licensing Authority does not accept that this mobile unit would assist dispersal of persons and considers that the location is inappropriate. The Sub Committee also took into account that the area is within the Cumulative Impact Area and did not consider that a good reason had been put forward to depart from policy.

There is a right of appeal to the Magistrates Court should you be dissatisfied with the decision made by the Sub Committee. You must make this appeal within 21 days of this letter reaching you.

Appeals should be addressed to the Magistrates Court at: Clerk to the Justices Leeds Magistrates Court Westgate Leeds LS1 3JP

and accompanied by a copy of this decision letter and the court fee of £75.00.

Yours faithfully,

Helen Gray Clerk to the Licensing Sub Committee

switchboard : 0113 234 8080 Page 9 www.leeds.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Minute Item 3



Simon Jones Partner Cobbetts LLP Ship Canal House King Street MANCHESTER MS 4WB **Chief Executive's Department**

Governance Services 4th Floor West Civic Hall Leeds LS1 1UR

Contact: Helen Gray Tel: 0113 247 4355 Fax: 0113 395 1599

Email: helen.gray@leeds.gov.uk

Your reference:

Our reference: A61/hg/makro

27 September 2006

APPLICATION FOR THE VARIATION OF A PREMISES LICENCE AT: "MAKRO SELF SERVICE WHOLESALERS LTD", ROYDS LANE, LOWER WORTLEY, LEEDS LS12 6HU

On 18th September 2006 the Licensing Sub Committee heard an application by Makro Self Services Wholesalers Ltd, Liverpool Road, Barton Moss, Eccles, M30 7RT for the variation of a premises licence currently held at the premises known as Makro Self Service Wholesalers Ltd, Royds Lane, Lower Wortley, Leeds LS12 6HU.

The applicant sought the following variation:

Supply of alcohol (for consumption off the premises):

Sunday to Saturday 00:00 hours until 23:59 hours

The applicant proposed to open the premises twenty four hours per day, seven days a week.

This letter represents the formal decision of the Sub Committee in respect of the application.

Preliminary Procedural Issues

The Sub Committee considered preliminary matters of a purely procedural nature. There were no declarations of interest made.

The Sub Committee then decided that the procedure for the hearing would not be varied. The Sub Committee also considered if the public should be excluded from any parts of the hearing.

The Sub Committee decided to exclude the public from that part of the hearing where Members would deliberate on the application as presented. This would allow them to have a full and frank discussion on all matters put before them and this fact outweighed the public interest in not doing so.



Prior to the hearing the Sub Committee had considered the Licensing Officers Report and the written representations received from West Yorkshire Police (WYP) and two local residents. The local residents did not attend the hearing

The Sub Committee permitted each party 10 minutes in which to make their case. This time limit was imposed having regard to the relevant Regulations and in the interests of consistency and the efficiency of business.

The Sub Committee then went on to consider the application.

The Hearing

The Sub Committee considered the verbal submission of Mr M Stafford, the applicants' solicitor, who addressed the application and the comments of the interested parties.

In determining the application the Sub Committee took into account written submissions from the interested parties contained within the report and circulated to the Parties prior to the hearing.

After considering the evidence and submissions the Sub Committee needed to satisfy itself that granting the licence would promote the licensing objectives.

In reaching this decision the Sub Committee had regard to the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003, guidance under Section 182 of that Act and the Council's own Licensing Policy.

In particular the Sub Committee took into account Sections 34 & 35 of the Act because these were the most relevant to the application and Chapter 7 of the Guidance relating to the prevention of crime and disorder, public nuisance and public safety

The Sub Committee then went on to consider the following paragraphs of the Licensing Policy as the Sub Committee took the view these paragraphs had bearing on the application.

Reasons for the decision

The Sub Committee noted that the following agreements had been reached between the applicant and West Yorkshire Police regarding their suggested conditions having regard to the prevention of crime and disorder:

- The premise shall have in place a written "Check 21" policy by the 1st November 2006. This policy shall state that any person to whom the sale or supply of alcohol is being made, who looks or appears top be under 21 years of age shall be asked to provide identification that they are 18 years of age or over. The following are the only forms of identification acceptable:
 - a) Passport
 - b) Photo driving licence
 - c) Pass accredited holographic proof of age card
 - d) Any other form of identification agreed with West Yorkshire Police Licensing Unit. Signs promoting this policy shall be prominently displayed at the public entrance and alcohol sales area

- The premise shall be equipped with a CCTV system that shall meet the following criteria:
 - a) The system shall comprehensively cover the 4 public areas of the premises including all public entry, exit and till points
 - b) The system shall be maintained in proper working order
 - c) The system shall display on any recording the correct time and date of the recording
 - d) The system shall be recording during all times the premise is open to the public
 - e) The recorded VCR tapes or digital recording media shall be held for a minimum of 31 days after the recording is made and shall be made available to the police for inspection on request

These conditions would be included in the applicant's Operating Schedule, and eventually the licence, should the application be granted.

The Sub Committee then went onto consider the submission of Mr Stafford who began by explaining the application had not been made for the sole purpose of serving alcohol twenty four hours per day seven days a week, rather to allow the Makro company the flexibility to be able sell alcohol for longer than they currently did at this site. This would be particularly useful at busy times of the year, such as Christmas, when customers required longer opening hours.

Mr Stafford further explained that the premises were not open to the public as such. Customers had to acquire membership of the company, and customers mainly comprised of business men or representatives of small business who purchased goods, including alcohol, for re-sale at their own premises.

With regard to the comments of the objectors contained within their written representations, Mr Stafford reiterated that alcohol sales were not permitted to persons under the age of 18 years, customers had to acquire membership, and that it was difficult to quantify whether the sale of alcohol for 24 hours per day at this site would increase the traffic noise and disturbance generated.

In answer to questions from the Sub Committee Mr Stafford confirmed the premises had planning permission to open 24 hours per day already, however not for the sale of alcohol. The Sub Committee expressed concern about the impact the grant of this variation might have on deliveries to the store itself and subsequent collections by customers which may generate more traffic at later hours. Mr Stafford explained that the delivery times to the store were governed by another set of conditions and also covered by the Environmental Protection Act. Mr Stafford additionally explained that the premises was currently open 08:00 hours until 23:00 hours every day and reiterated it was not the intention to open 24 hours per day. At peak periods of business the premise would most likely open for an additional hour in the morning and evening. Furthermore, as a wholesale business, current Sunday trading hours did not apply.

In conclusion, he added that LCC Department of Development (Planning Services) had been notified of the application and no representations had been received.

The Sub Committee adjourned to deliberate the application, however sought clarification from Mr Stafford regarding the current delivery times to the premises. Mr Stafford contacted the premises directly; however the information was not forthcoming in time for the decision

The Decision

The Sub Committee were largely persuaded by Mr Stafford's submission that granting the application would not undermine the promotion of the prevention of public nuisance objective, however Members remained concerned that the premises was adjacent to a residential area and noted the submissions made by local residents.

The Sub Committee also the agreements already reached between the applicants and WYP and these agreed measures would now form part of the Premise Licence.

The Sub Committee felt that the points raised by residents were valid with regard to potential noise nuisance and therefore resolved to grant the application as requested with an additional condition imposed on the Premise Licence, as detailed below:

Supply of alcohol (for consumption off the premises):

Sunday to Saturday 00:00 hours until 23:59 hours

Conditions

• There shall be no deliveries to the premises between the 23:00 hours and 07:00 hours

There is a right of appeal to the Magistrates Court should you be dissatisfied with the decision made by the Sub Committee. You must make this appeal within 21 days of this letter reaching you.

Appeals should be addressed to the Magistrates Court at: Clerk to the Justices Leeds Magistrates Court Westgate Leeds LS1 3JP

and accompanied by a copy of this decision letter and the court fee of £75.00.

Yours faithfully,

Helen Gray
Clerk to the Licensing Sub Committee

Minute Item 5



Chief Executive's Department

Governance Services 4th Floor West Civic Hall Leeds LS1 1UR

Contact: Helen Gray Tel: 0113 247 4355 Fax: 0113 395 1599

Email: helen.gray@leeds.gov.uk

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Your reference:

Our reference: A61/hg/filmfestival

27 September 2006

Mr C Fell
Leeds International Film Festival
Office
Dept of Learning & Leisure
7th Floor
Merrion House
110 Merrion Centre
LEEDS LS2 8DT

APPLICATION FOR THE CERTIFICATION OF FILMS – THE LEEDS INTERNATIONAL FESTIVAL

On 18th September 2006 the Licensing Sub Committee heard an application made by the Leeds International Film Festival Office for the certification of a number of films to be screened at various venues during the Leeds International Film Festival scheduled to be held 2nd to 12th November 2006.

The Sub Committee were informed that under Section 20 of the Licensing Act 2003, the Licensing Authority had a duty to categorise a film which is absent of a certificate from a film classification body such as the British Board of Film Classification (BBFC.)

Details of the films requiring certification were attached to the report for Members information, along with a brief synopsis and recommended rating. All of the films were available for the Sub Committee to view, should it be considered necessary.

Councillor T Grayshon declared a personal interest in one of the films to be considered-"From Dust" a documentary about the difficulty of rebuilding homes in Sri Lanka following the Tsunami disaster, as he had undertaken charity fundraising and visited the country following the disaster

Mr Chris Fell, Mr Alex King and Miss Martha Jurksaitis from the Leeds City Council, Leeds International Film Festival Office attended the hearing to provide additional information to the Sub Committee if required. An up to date schedule of films highlighting new additions to the schedule and those films now not intended for screening was tabled on the day of the hearing.

The Decision

The Sub Committee resolved to grant the listed films the certifications, as recommended within the report (and detailed in Appendix A attached to this letter)

switchboard: 0113 234 8080

The Sub Committee made this decision as they were satisfied that the majority of the audience attending the festival would be of adult age and certainly over 12 years of age, thus the application would not conflict with the licensing objective of the protection of children from harm.

There is a right of appeal to the Magistrates Court should you be dissatisfied with the decision made by the Sub Committee. You must make this appeal within 21 days of this letter reaching you.

Appeals should be addressed to the Magistrates Court at: Clerk to the Justices Leeds Magistrates Court Westgate Leeds LS1 3JP

and accompanied by a copy of this decision letter and the court fee of £75.00.

Yours faithfully,

Helen Gray Clerk to the Licensing Sub Committee

> switchboard : 0113 234 8080 Page 16

www.leeds.gov.uk

APPENDIX A

TITLE	CERTIFICATE
Grain in the ear Glue Time Frozen City Mutual Appreciation I Don't Care if Tomorrow Never Comes Several People, Little Time A Crude Awakening: The Oil Crash The Case of the Grinning Cat AI Franken: God Spoke Black Gold Salinas Grandes Punam The Camden 28 Bulls**t Say Amen! From Dust Still Life – The Many Faces of A Dictatorship Maquilapolis Guerrilla Girl Chavez, Venezuela and the New Latin America Captain Milkshake Maxed Out Looking for Reynols Beijing Bubbles Divided States of America: Laibach Danielson: A Family Movie or Make a Joyful Noise Here Loudquietloud Amadou & Mariam: Dimanche a Bamako 4Real Kenya Slide Guitar Ride American Hardcore	15 18 18 15 15 15 PG PG PG PG PG PG PG PG PG PG PG PG PG
If You Want You Can Monks: The Transatlantic Feedback My Name is Albert Ayler Jump Shorts Lookandlisten programme Ghost on a Highway: a Portrait of Jeffrey Lee Pierce and Gun Club	18 15 15 PG 18 15
Something I've Got To Tell You: A Life in the Death of Joe Meek	15
Interkosmos Zizek! Jack Smith & the Destruction of Atlantis The Treasure of Long Gone John Poitin One Love -Story of Rastafarians' Return from Babylon to Zion	PG PG 15 15 15
Hammer and Tickle	PG

www.leeds.gov.uk switchboard : 0113 234 8080 Page 17

FINAL Devotional Shorts	PG
Ahlaam	18
Taipei 4 Story	15
Wrong Side Up	15
Dunia, Kiss Me Not on the Eyes	15
Analife	18
Death Rode out of Persia	15
Ryna	15
Lenz	PG
Our Daily Bread	15
Exit: the Right to Die	15
The Blood of my Brother	18
Total Denial	15
Little Birds	18
Voodoo Rhythm	15 15
Toro Negro Barbers – Mens' Story	15 PG
Darkon	PG
Next: a Primer on Urban Painting	15
Blood Tea and Red String	PG
Bardo	18
Khadak	15
Rwanda: Through us, Humanity	18
Dark Remains	18
Hole	18
The Woods	18
Broken	18
Behind the Mask: The Rise of Leslie Vernon	18
Meatball Machine	18
The Call of Cthulhu	15
Arthouse Ultraman	15
Paranoia Agent	15
Unrest	18 18
Grusome Oculus	18
Live Feed	18
Paprika	18
Mushi-Shi	18
The Living And The Dead	15
37 Uses For A Dead Sheep	PG
Bits N Bites	15
Splinter	15
Like Minds	15
Dead Man's Cards	18
Stella Polare	PG
Colour Me Kubrick	12A
Hardcore	18
Jam The Boards King	15
The Penalty King	15
Louis le Prince Short Film Competition	15 15
Panorama Shorts	15 15
Animation Competition Experimental shorts	15
Themed Shorts Programme	15
momed onorto i rogiammo	10

FINAL Propeller Shorts 15 Brno 16 Shorts 15 **Polish Animation** 15 The Hills of Disorder 12 **Beyond Conviction** 15 No-One 12 Death of Two Sons 12 Kabul Transit PG The Session is Open 15 Weird Paul PG Contempo Goes West U Notes on Marie Menken PG **Expiry Date** 18 Gamerz 12 The Beach Party at the Threshold of Hell 15 **Funky Forest** 18 The Taste of Tea 18 **Executive Koala** 15 Everyone But Japan Sinks 15 Oh My Zombie Mermaid 18

This page is intentionally left blank